## <span id="page-0-0"></span>Chapter 2: Stationary ARMA processes

Jesús Bueren

EUI

[Introduction](#page-1-0)

### <span id="page-1-0"></span>Introduction

- This chapter follows chapter 3 in Hamilton.
- It provides a class of models for describing the dynamics of an individual time series.
- We first go through a set of basic time series concepts and the properties of various ARMA processes.

<span id="page-2-0"></span>Ensemble mean

• Imagine a sequence of *I* independent computers generating sequences of random numbers from a distribution with finite first and second moments:

$$
\{y_t^{(1)}\}_{t=-\infty}^{\infty}; \{y_t^{(2)}\}_{t=-\infty}^{\infty}; \cdots; \{y_t^{(l)}\}_{t=-\infty}^{\infty}
$$

 $y_t^{(i)}$  $t_t^{(V)}$  is a draw from the random variable  $Y_t$ 

- The ensemble mean is defined as:

$$
E[Y_t] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} y_t f_{Y_t}(y_t) dy_t = \lim_{l \to \infty} (1/l) \sum_{i=1}^l y_t^{(i)} = \mu_t
$$

# **Definitions**

Autocovariance

- The autocovariance is defined as:

$$
E[(Y_t - \mu_t)(Y_{t-j} - \mu_{t-j})]
$$
  
=  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (y_t - \mu_t)(y_{t-j} - \mu_{t-j}) f_{Y_t, Y_{t-j}}(y_t, y_{t-j}) dy_t dy_{t-j}$   
=  $\plim_{t \to \infty} (1/t) \sum_{i=1}^{l} (y_t^{(i)} - \mu_t)(y_{t-j}^{(i)} - \mu_{t-j}) = \gamma_{jt}$ 

# **Definitions**

**Stationarity** 

• If neither the mean, nor the autocovariances depend on date  $t$ , then the process  $\,Y_t$  is said to be covariance-stationary or weakly stationary.

$$
-E[Y_t]=\mu \ \forall \ t
$$

$$
E[(Y_t - \mu)(Y_{t-j} - \mu)] = \gamma_j \ \forall \ t
$$

**Ergodicity** 

• A stationary process is said to be ergodic if:

$$
\plim_{\mathcal{T} \to \infty} 1 / \mathcal{T} \sum_{t=1}^T y_t^{(i)} = \plim_{l \to \infty} 1 / l \sum_{i=1}^l y_t^{(i)} = \mu
$$

• Example of a non-ergodic stationary process:

$$
y_t^{(i)} = \mu^{(i)} + \epsilon_t; \mu^{(i)} \sim N(0, \lambda); \epsilon_t \sim N(0, \sigma)
$$

• Sufficient conditions for ergodicity of a stationary process:  $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |\gamma_j| < \infty$ 

#### <span id="page-6-0"></span>Moving-Average Processes  $MA(1)$

- Let  $\{\epsilon_t\}$ ,  $\epsilon_t \sim \mathcal{N}(0,\sigma^2),$  i.i.d: Gaussian white noise
- Consider the process:

$$
Y_t = \mu + \epsilon_t + \theta \epsilon_{t-1},
$$

this time series is called a *first-order moving average process*, denoted  $MA(1)$ .

#### Moving Average Processes  $MA(1)$

- Expectation:  $\mathcal{E}[Y_t] = \mu$
- Autocovariance:

$$
E[(Y_t - \mu)(Y_{t-j} - \mu)] = \begin{cases} \sigma^2(1 + \theta^2), & \text{if } j = 0\\ \theta \sigma^2, & \text{if } j = 1\\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
$$

$$
\Rightarrow \text{Stationary}
$$

• 
$$
\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |\gamma_j| = \sigma^2 (1 + \theta^2) + |\theta|\sigma^2
$$
  
\n
$$
\Rightarrow \text{Ergodic}
$$

#### Moving Average Processes  $MA(q)$

- Expectation:  $\mathcal{E}[Y_t] = \mu$
- Autocovariance:

$$
E[(Y_t - \mu)(Y_{t-j} - \mu)] = \begin{cases} \sigma^2 (1 + \sum_{i=1}^q \theta_i^2), & \text{if } j = 0\\ \sigma^2 (\theta_j + \sum_{i=1}^{q-j} \theta_i \theta_{i+j}), & \text{if } 0 < j < = q\\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
$$

- ⇒ Stationary
- $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |\gamma_j| < \infty$ ⇒ Ergodic

#### Moving Average Processes  $MA(\infty)$

- Expectation:  $E[Y_t] = \mu$
- Autocovariance:

$$
E[(Y_t - \mu)(Y_{t-j} - \mu)] = \begin{cases} \sigma^2 (1 + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \theta_i^2), & \text{if } j = 0\\ \sigma^2 (\theta_j + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \theta_i \theta_{i+j}), & \text{if } j > 0 \end{cases}
$$

⇒ Stationary

• 
$$
\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |\gamma_j| < \infty \text{ if } \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |\theta_i| < \infty
$$
  
\n
$$
\Rightarrow \text{Ergodic}
$$

#### <span id="page-10-0"></span>Autoregressive Processes  $AR(1)$

- Let  $\{\epsilon_t\}$ ,  $\epsilon_t \sim \mathcal{N}(0,\sigma^2),$  i.i.d: Gaussian white noise
- Consider the process:

$$
Y_t = c + \phi Y_{t-1} + \epsilon_t,
$$

this time series is called a first-order autoregressive process,denoted  $AR(1)$ .

- Notice that this process takes the form of a first-order difference equation.
- We know from our analysis of first-order difference equations that if  $|\phi| > 1$ , the consequences of  $\epsilon$ 's for Y accumulate  $\Rightarrow$  not covariance stationary

#### Autoregressive Processes  $AR(1)$

• The solution is given by:

$$
Y_t = (c + \epsilon_t) + \phi(c + \epsilon_{t-1}) + \phi^2(c + \epsilon_{t-1}) + \cdots
$$
  
=  $c/(1 - \phi) + \epsilon_t + \phi\epsilon_{t-1} + \phi^2\epsilon_{t-2} + \cdots$ 

• This can be viewed as an  $MA(\infty)$  process.

• With 
$$
|\phi| < 1
$$
,  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |\phi^i| = 1/(1 - |\phi|) < \infty \Rightarrow$  Ergodic.

• Autocovariance:

$$
E[(Y_t - \mu)(Y_{t-j} - \mu)] = \sigma^2 \phi^j / (1 - \phi^2)
$$

#### Autoregressive Processes AR(2)

• A second-order autoregression AR(2) satisfies,

<span id="page-12-0"></span>
$$
Y_t = c + \phi_1 Y_{t-1} + \phi_2 Y_{t-2} + \epsilon_t \tag{1}
$$

or in lag operation notation,

$$
(1 - \phi_1 L - \phi_2 L^2) Y_t = \epsilon_t
$$

• The process is stationary provided that the roots  $z_1$  and  $z_2$  of

$$
1-\phi_1z-\phi_2z^2=0
$$

lie outside the unit circle (or  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  smaller than one in modulus). • We obtain:

$$
(1 - \phi_1 L - \phi_2 L^2) = (1 - \lambda_1 L)(1 - \lambda_2 L),
$$

where  $\lambda_1 = 1/z_1$  and  $\lambda_2 = 1/z_2$ 

STATIONARY ARMA PROCESSES **SUPPERSEDIAL SECURE ARMA** processes **13** 

#### Autoregressive Processes AR(2)

• To find autocovariances subtract the unconditional mean  $(\mu = c/(1 - \phi_1 - \phi_2))$  on both sides of equation [\(1\)](#page-12-0) multiply by  $Y_{t-i} - \mu$  and take expectations:

<span id="page-13-0"></span>
$$
\gamma_j = \phi_1 \gamma_{j-1} + \phi_2 \gamma_{j-2} \text{ for } j > 0 \tag{2}
$$

• For the first 3 autocovariances we have:

$$
\gamma_0 = \phi_1 \gamma_1 + \phi_2 \gamma_2 + \sigma^2
$$
  
\n
$$
\gamma_1 = \phi_1 \gamma_0 + \phi_2 \gamma_1
$$
  
\n
$$
\gamma_2 = \phi_1 \gamma_1 + \phi_2 \gamma_0
$$

which is a system of equations with 3 equations and 3 unknowns.

• For further autocovariances, iterate on equation [\(2\)](#page-13-0).

#### Autoregressive Processes  $AR(p)$

• These techniques generalize in a straightforward way to pth-order difference equation of the form

<span id="page-14-0"></span>
$$
y_t = \phi_1 y_{t-1} + \phi_2 y_{t-2} + \dots + \phi_p y_{t-p} + \epsilon_t \tag{3}
$$

written in terms of the lag operator as:

$$
(1-\phi_1L-\cdots-\phi_pL^p)y_t=\epsilon_t
$$

• The process is stationary as long as the roots of:

$$
(1-\phi_1z-\cdots-\phi_pz^p)=0
$$

lie outside the unit circle.

• Then,

$$
(1-\phi_1L-\cdots-\phi_pL^p)=(1-\lambda_1L)(1-\lambda_2L)...(1-\lambda_pL)
$$

[Stationary ARMA processes](#page-0-0) and the series Bueren 15 and the series of the series of

#### Autoregressive Processes AR(p)

• To find autocovariances subtract the unconditional mean  $(\mu = 1/(1 - \phi_1 - \dots \phi_p))$  on both sides of equation [\(3\)](#page-14-0) multiply by  $Y_{t-i} - \mu$  and take expectations:

<span id="page-15-0"></span>
$$
\gamma_j = \phi_1 \gamma_{j-1} + \dots + \phi_p \gamma_{j-p} \tag{4}
$$

• For the first p autocovariances we have:

$$
\gamma_0 = \phi_1 \gamma_1 + \dots + \phi_p \gamma_p + \sigma^2
$$
  
\n
$$
\gamma_1 = \phi_1 \gamma_0 + \dots + \phi_p \gamma_{p-1}
$$
  
\n
$$
\vdots
$$
  
\n
$$
\gamma_p = \phi_1 \gamma_{p-1} + \dots + \phi_p \gamma_0
$$

which is a system of equations with  $p+1$  equations and  $p+1$ unknowns.

• For further autocovariances, iterate on equation [\(4\)](#page-15-0).

[Stationary ARMA processes](#page-0-0) Jesús Bueren 16

### <span id="page-16-0"></span>Mixed Autoregressive Moving Average Processes ARMA(p,q)

• An ARMA(p,q) process includes both autoregressive and moving average terms:

<span id="page-16-1"></span>
$$
Y_{t} = c + \phi_{1} Y_{t-1} + \phi_{2} Y_{t-2} + \dots + \phi_{p} Y_{t-p} + \epsilon_{t} + \theta_{1} \epsilon_{t-1} + \theta_{2} \epsilon_{t-2} + \dots + \theta_{q} \epsilon_{t-q}
$$
(5)

or in lag operator form,

$$
(1 - \phi_1 L - \cdots - \phi_p L^p) Y_t = c + (1 + \theta L + \cdots + \theta_q L^q) \epsilon
$$

• Provided that the roots of:

$$
1-\phi_1z-\cdots-\phi_pz^p=0,
$$

lie outside the unit circle, the process is stationary.

STATIONARY ARMA PROCESSES **SUPPERSEDIAL SECOND PROCESSES** Jesús Bueren 17

#### Mixed Autoregressive Moving Average Processes ARMA(p,q)

• To find autocovariances subtract the unconditional mean  $(\mu = 1/(1 - \phi_1 - \dots \phi_n))$  on both sides of equation [\(5\)](#page-16-1) multiply by  $Y_{t-i} - \mu$  and take expectations:

<span id="page-17-0"></span>
$$
\gamma_j = \phi_1 \gamma_{j-1} + \dots + \phi_p \gamma_{j-p} \text{ for } j > q \tag{6}
$$

• For an  $ARMA(1,1)$  we have:

$$
\gamma_0 = \phi_1 \gamma_1 + \sigma^2 (1 + \theta_1^2)
$$
  
\n
$$
\gamma_1 = \phi_1 \gamma_0 + \theta_1 \sigma^2
$$
  
\n
$$
\gamma_j = \phi_1 \gamma_{j-1} \text{ if } j > 1
$$

#### Mixed Autoregressive Moving Average Processes ARMA(p,q)

- Which is a system of equations with  $p+1$  equations and  $p+1$ unknowns.
- For further autocovariances, iterate on equation [\(6\)](#page-17-0).
- For estimation of ARMA models using the Kalman filter we need the first max $\{p, q+1\}$  autocovariances.

## <span id="page-19-0"></span>**Invertibility**

• Consider an *MA*(1) process:

$$
Y_t - \mu = (1 + \theta L)\epsilon
$$

• Provided the  $|\theta| < 1$  we can rewrite it as a  $AR(\infty)$ :

$$
(1 - \theta L + \theta^2 L^2 - \theta^3 L^3 + \dots)(Y_t - \mu) = \epsilon_t
$$

- The process is then said invertible.
- For an  $MA(q)$  the process is invertible provided that the roots of:

$$
1 + \theta_1 z + \theta_2 z^2 + \dots + \theta_q z^q = 0
$$

lie outside the unit circle.

# <span id="page-20-0"></span>Box-Jenkins Modeling Philosophy

- Box and Jenkins popularized a three-stage method aimed at selecting an appropriate model for the purpose of estimating a univariate time series:
	- 1. Identification: examine autocorrelation (ACF) and partial autocorrelation (PACF) function. A comparison of the samples ACF and PACF to those of various theoretical ARMA processes may suggest several plausible models.
	- 2. Estimation of each of the tentative models
	- 3. Model selection and ensure residuals mimic white-noise process.

#### Box-Jenkins Modeling Philosophy Identification

• the *j*th autocorrelation of a covariance-stationary process is defined as:

$$
\rho_j = \frac{\gamma_j}{\gamma_0}
$$

- Sample autocovariance:  $\hat{\gamma}_j = \frac{1}{7}$  $\frac{1}{T} \sum_{j+1}^{T} (y_t - \hat{\mu})(y_{t-j} - \hat{\mu})$
- Sample autocorrelation:  $\hat{\rho}_j = \frac{\hat{\gamma}_j}{\hat{\gamma}_j}$  $\hat{\gamma}_0$
- If data was generated by a white noise process:  $\hat{\rho}_j \overset{d}{\to} \mathcal{N}(0, 1/\mathcal{T})$

# Box-Jenkins Modeling Philosophy

Identification: Autocorrelation Functions



#### Box-Jenkins Modeling Philosophy Identification

• the mth partial autocorrelation is the last coefficient in an OLS regression of  $y$  on a constant and its  $i$  most recent values:

$$
y_{t+1} = \hat{c} + \hat{\alpha}_1^{(m)} y_t + \hat{\alpha}_2^{(m)} y_{t-1} + \dots + \hat{\alpha}_m^{(m)} y_{t-m+1} + \hat{e}_t
$$

• If the data were really generated by a  $AR(p)$  process, then the sample estimate  $\hat{\alpha}_{m}^{(m)}$  for  $m>p$  would have a variance around the true value (0) that could be approximated by:

$$
Var(\hat{\alpha}_m^{(m)}) \simeq 1/T \text{ for } m > p
$$

# <span id="page-24-0"></span>Box-Jenkins Modeling Philosophy

Identification: Partial Autocorrelation Functions

