## <span id="page-0-0"></span>Numerical Methods

Jesús Bueren

EUI, 2024

Bueren (EUI, 2024) [Numerical Methods](#page-52-0) 1 / 53

#### [Introduction](#page-1-0)

### <span id="page-1-0"></span>Introduction

- In the lecture we are going to give an overview of different methods for solving recursive problems (not only life cycle).
- In the first part, we are going to cover some tricks to speed up and increase accuracy of the solution when using state-space methods.
- In the second part, we will see projection methods.

[State-Space Methods](#page-2-0)

# <span id="page-2-0"></span>Discrete Approximation

Simple Iterative Procedure

• Take the problem faced by an agent in the life cycle model 2 periods before certain death:

$$
v_{J-1}(a) = \max_{c,a'} \{u(c) + s_j \beta v_J(a')\}
$$
  
s.t.  $c + a' = aR + b + T$ 

- The function  $u(c)$  is strictly concave and twice continuously differentiable.
- The way we solved this in first-year macro is by iterating for each value of  $a_i \in \{a_0, ..., a_{nk}\}\$  through all values of  $a'_j \in \{a_0, ..., a_{max}\}\$ where,

$$
a_{max} = a_i R + b + T
$$

Bueren (EUI, 2024) [Numerical Methods](#page-0-0) 3/53

[State-Space Methods](#page-2-0)

#### Discrete Approximation Exploiting Monotonicity

- As you might have guessed, this is a not very smart algorithm.
- We can do much better if we exploit the structure of the problem.
- We can exploit the monotonicity of the policy function i.e

$$
a_i > a_j \Rightarrow g_{J-1}^a(a_i) > g_{J-1}^a(a_j)
$$

# Discrete Approximation

Exploiting Concavity

• Second, we can shorten the number of computations in the maximization since the function

$$
f(a') = u(aR + b + T - a') + s_j \beta v_J(a')
$$

is strictly concave.

- A strictly concave function defined over a grid of nkk points either takes its maximum at one of the boundary points or in the interior of the grid.
	- ▶ In the first case the function is decreasing (increasing) over the whole grid, if the maximum is the first (last) point of the grid.
	- ▶ In the second case the function is first increasing and then decreasing.
- As a consequence we can take the mid-point of the grid  $a_i$  and the grid point next to it  $a_{i+1}$  and determine whether the maximum is to the right of  $a_i$ .<br>
Bueren (EUI, 2024) [Numerical Methods](#page-0-0) 5/53

#### Discrete Approximation Binary Search Algorithm

• Find the maximum of a strictly concave function  $f(x)$  defined over a grid  $\{x_1, ..., x_n\}$ 

1. Select two points: 
$$
i_l = \text{floor}\left(\frac{i_{min} + i_{max}}{2}\right)
$$
 and  $i_u = i_l + 1$ 

2. If  $f(x_{i_u}) > f(x_{i_l})$  set  $i_{min} = i_l$  otherwise set  $i_{min} = i_u$ 

3. If  $i_{max} - i_{min} = 2$ , stop and choose the largest element among  $f(x_{i_{min}}), f(x_{i_{min}+1}),$  and  $f(x_{i_{max}})$ . Otherwise return to Step 2.

Bueren (EUI, 2024) [Numerical Methods](#page-0-0) 6 / 53

# <span id="page-6-0"></span>Policy Function Iteration

- In infinite horizon models, we use value function iteration to find the fixed point of the operator.
- Value function iteration is nevertheless a slow procedure since it converges linearly at the rate  $\beta$ :

$$
||\boldsymbol{v}^{s+1}-\boldsymbol{v}^*||\leq \beta||\boldsymbol{v}^s-\boldsymbol{v}^*||
$$

- Howard's improvement algorithm or policy function iteration is a method to enhance convergence.
- Each time a policy function is computed, we solve for the value function that would occur, if the policy were followed forever.
- This value function is then used in the next step to obtain a new policy function.

Bueren (EUI, 2024) [Numerical Methods](#page-0-0) 7 / 53

## Policy Function Iteration

• What is the value function associated with a given  $g^k(k, z)$ ?

$$
v(k_i, z_m) = u(z_m f(k_i) - k_j) + \beta \sum_{z_l} \Pi(z_l | z_m) v(k_j, z_l),
$$

where 
$$
k_j = g^k(k_i, z_m)
$$
.

In matrix notation:

vec  $v = \text{vec } u + \beta Q$  vec v

with solution:

$$
\mathrm{vec}\,\bm{v}=[I-\beta Q]^{-1}\bm{u}
$$

• If the state is space is large, computing the inverse of  $[I - \beta Q]^{-1}$ can be very expensive.

Bueren (EUI, 2024) [Numerical Methods](#page-0-0) 8/53

#### Modified Policy Function Iteration

- Instead of computing the inverse, use a value function which is close but not exactly the one associated with the proposed policy function.
- Run a k number of times the following code:

$$
\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{w}^1&=\boldsymbol{v}^0\\ \text{vec}\,\boldsymbol{w}^{l+1}&=\text{vec}\,\boldsymbol{u}+\beta Q\,\text{vec}\,\boldsymbol{w}^l\\ \boldsymbol{v}^1&=\boldsymbol{w}^{k+1} \end{aligned}
$$

#### <span id="page-9-0"></span>Interpolation Between Grid Points

- In case the relevant state space is large, the computation time on a grid with many points may become a binding constraint.
- We, thus, look for methods that increase precision for a given number of grid-points without a compensating rise in computation time.
- How do we accomplish this?

## Interpolation Between Grid Points

- Imagine that using the first year macro code, we found that  $a' = a_j$  is optimal for the set of points in the grid.
- Since the value function is increasing and concave, the true maximizer must lie in the interval  $[a_{i-1}, a_{i+1}]$ .
- If we were able to evaluate the rhs of the Bellman equation at all  $a' \in [a_{j-1}, a_{j+1}]$ , we could pick the maximizer of the function in this interval.
- Two things are necessary to achieve this goal:
	- 1. an approximation of the value function over the interval  $[a_{j-1}, a_{j+1}]$
	- 2. a method to locate the maximum of a continuous function.

Bueren (EUI, 2024) [Numerical Methods](#page-0-0) 11/53

#### Interpolation Between Grid Points Linear Interpolation

- Linear interpolation is simple and shape preserving.
- This property is important, if we use interpolation to approximate the value function, which is known to be concave and increasing.
- Linear interpolation uses the point:

$$
\hat{f}(x) := f(x_1) + \frac{f(x_2) + f(x_1)}{x_2 - x_1}(x - x_1)
$$

• Thus, f is approximated by the line through  $(x1, f(x1))$  and  $(x2, f(x2))$ .

Bueren (EUI, 2024) [Numerical Methods](#page-0-0) 12/53

#### Interpolation Between Grid Points Cubic Splines

- Sometimes we are interested in preserving the smoothness of a function.
- Assume that we approximate the function  $f(x)$  by a function  $s(x)$ over the grid  $\mathbf{x} = [x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n]$  with corresponding function values  $y = [y_0, y_1, \dots, y_n]$  with  $y_i = f(x_i)$ .
- On each subinterval  $[x_{i-1}, x_i]$ , we will approximate  $f(x)$  with a cubic function  $s(x) = a_i + b_i x + c_i x^2 + d_i x^3$

Bueren (EUI, 2024) [Numerical Methods](#page-0-0) 13/53

#### Interpolation Between Grid Points Cubic Splines

- We impose that:
	- 1. The approximation is exact at the grid-points,  $y_i = s(x_i)$ :

$$
y_i = a_i + b_i x_i + c_i x_i^2 + d_i x_i^3, \quad i = 1, ..., n
$$
  

$$
y_i = a_{i+1} + b_{i+1} x_i + c_{i+1} x_{i+1}^2 + d_{i+1} x_i^3, \quad i = 0, ..., n-1
$$

2. The first and the second derivatives agree on the nodes:

$$
b_i + 2c_i x_i + 3d_i x_i^2 = b_{i+1} + 2c_{i+1} x_i + 3d_{i+1} x_i^2, \quad i = 1, ..., n-1
$$
  

$$
2c_i + 6d_i x_i = 2c_{i+1} + 6d_{i+1} x_i, \quad i = 1, ..., n-1
$$

These conditions amount to  $4n-2$  linear equations in the  $4n$ unknowns  $a_i, b_i, c_i, d_i$  leaving us two conditions short of fixing the coefficients.

Bueren (EUI, 2024) [Numerical Methods](#page-0-0) 14/53

#### Interpolation Between Grid Points Cubic Splines

- Two possible solutions:
	- 1. Natural spline:

$$
s''(x_0) = s''(x_n) = 0
$$

2. Secant Hermite spline: use the slope of the secant lines over  $[x_0, x_1]$ and  $[x_{n-1}, x_n]$  respectively:

$$
s'(x_0) = \frac{y_1 - y_0}{x_1 - x_0} = b_1 + 2c_1x_0 + 3d_1x_0^2
$$
  

$$
s'(x_n) = \frac{y_n - y_{n-1}}{x_n - x_{n-1}} = b_n + 2c_nx_n + 3d_nx_n^2
$$

Bueren (EUI, 2024) [Numerical Methods](#page-0-0) 15/53

### <span id="page-15-0"></span>Locating the Maximum

• Using these interpolation methods allows us to approximate the rhs of the Bellman equation by a continuous function:

$$
\hat{\phi}(K) = u(f(K_i) - K) + \beta \hat{v}(K)
$$

• In the interval  $[K_{j-1}, K_{j+1}]$  the maximum of  $\hat{\phi}(K)$  is located either at the end-points or in the interior.

# Locating the Maximum

Golden Section Search

- This method locates the maximum of a single peaked function  $f(x)$  in the interval  $I = [A, D]$ .
- The idea is to shrink the interval around the true maximizer  $x^*$  in successive steps until the midpoint of the remaining interval is a good approximation to  $x^*$ .
- Imagine we have two other function evaluations at points B and C
	- If  $f(B) > f(C) \Rightarrow$  look in [A, C]
	- If  $f(B) < f(C) \Rightarrow$  look in  $[B, D]$
- How to choose B and C?

# Locating the Maximum

Golden Section Search

• Choose them such that  $\overline{AC} = \overline{BD}$ :

1. 
$$
\overline{AD} = \overline{AC} + \overline{CD}
$$
  
2.  $\frac{\overline{AC}}{\overline{AD}} = \frac{\overline{CD}}{\overline{AC}}$ 

substitute 1 in 2:

$$
\frac{\bar{A C}}{\bar{A C}+\bar{C D}}=\frac{\bar{C D}}{\bar{A C}}
$$

define  $p = \frac{\bar{CD}}{\bar{AC}}$  $\frac{\overline{C}}{\overline{AC}}$  solve for *p*:

$$
p = (\sqrt{5} - 1)/2
$$

Bueren (EUI, 2024) [Numerical Methods](#page-0-0) 18/53

## <span id="page-18-0"></span>Projection Methods

- When solving DP problems, we are trying to solve for a function f (the value function or policy function) that satisfies a condition (Bellman equation or euler equation).
- Projection methods provide approximate solutions to functional equations.
- Different from  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , however, function spaces have infinite dimensions.
- Projection methods use a family of polynomials  $P := {\psi_i}_{i=0}^{\infty}$  and approximate f by a finite sum of members of this family.

#### Motivating Example

• Consider the ordinary differential equation:

$$
f'(x) + f(x) = 0, \ f(0) = 1,
$$

the solution is given by:  $f(x) = e^{-x}$ .

## **Definitions**

- In order to approximate any function we choose:
	- $-$  A basis  $\Psi$ .
	- An order of approximation
	- An interval over which we approximate the function

#### • Definition: Basis

A subset  $\Psi$  of a vector space V is a basis if all  $\psi \in \Psi$  are linearly independent and all  $v \in V$  can be expressed as a linear combination of the elements of Ψ.

• The idea is then is to find  $\gamma$  to approximate the funcion f:

$$
f(x) \simeq \hat{f}(x,\gamma) = \sum_{i=1}^{p} \gamma_i \psi_i(x)
$$

Bueren (EUI, 2024) [Numerical Methods](#page-0-0) 21 / 53

# **Definitions**

- Consider the set of all continuous functions that map the interval  $[a, b]$  to the real line denoted by  $C[a, b]$ .
- This set is a vector space and monomials build a base  $\Psi_m$  for this space i.e. every element of the set can be represented by:

$$
f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \gamma_i x^i
$$

• For this reason it is common to use a linear combination of the first p members of this base to approximate a continuous function  $f(t)$  in  $C[a, b]$ 

$$
f(x) \simeq \sum_{i=0}^{p} \gamma_i x^i
$$

### Back to Example

• Back to our example, let's approximate  $f(x)$  using the basis of monomials with the first 3 members  $(p=3)$ .

$$
\hat{f}(x) = \gamma_0 + \gamma_1 x + \gamma_2 x^2 \ (\gamma_0 = 1 \text{ since } f(0) = 1)
$$

• Using the differential equation, let us define the residual function:

$$
R(\gamma, t) = \gamma_1 + 2\gamma_2 x + 1 + \gamma_1 x + \gamma_2 x^2
$$

- This function describes the error that results if we use our guess of the solution instead of the true solution in the functional equation.
- We want  $\gamma$  to minimize  $R(\gamma, x)$  for all  $x \in [a, b]$  given some metric. This step is known as the projection against a given basis.

Bueren (EUI, 2024) [Numerical Methods](#page-0-0) 23/53

 $\cdot$ 

## Projections Direction

• Generally, we can write a projection as a weighting function  $p(x)$ which together with  $R$  define an inner product given by:

$$
\int_x p(x)R(\gamma,x)dx
$$

• We look for a  $\gamma$  such that:

$$
\int_{x} p(x)R(\gamma, x)dx \simeq 0
$$

• Depending on the projection that we use we will obtain different results.

## Least Squares Projection

• Choose as projection direction the gradient of the loss function:

$$
p(x) = \frac{\partial R(\gamma, x)}{\partial \gamma}
$$

• The implied problem would be equivalent to solving:

$$
\min_{\gamma} \int_{x} R(\gamma, x)^2 dx
$$

• By FOCs using Leibniz integral rule:

$$
\int_{x} \frac{\partial R(\gamma, x)}{\partial \gamma} R(\gamma, x) dx = 0
$$

Bueren (EUI, 2024) [Numerical Methods](#page-0-0) 25 / 53

#### Least Squares Projection Example

• In the example, for the interval  $[0, 2]$ , the least squares projection is found by solving:

$$
\min_{\gamma} \int_0^2 (1 + \gamma_1 (1 + x) + \gamma_2 (2x + x^2))^2 dx
$$

with FOCs:

$$
\int_0^2 (1+x)(1+\gamma_1(1+x)+\gamma_2(2x+x^2))dx = 0
$$

$$
\int_0^2 (2x+x^2)(1+\gamma_1(1+x)+\gamma_2(2x+x^2))dx = 0
$$

Bueren (EUI, 2024) [Numerical Methods](#page-0-0) 26 / 53

## Dirac Delta Projection / Collocation

- Discretize the state space x into a grid of size  $p$  $\tilde{x} = {\tilde{x}_0, \tilde{x}_1, \ldots, \tilde{x}_{n-1}}.$
- Projection direction are given by the Dirac deltas:

$$
p_i(x) = \begin{cases} 1 \text{ if } x = \tilde{x}_i \\ 0 \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}
$$

- This is a fancy way to describe the following: we want the Residual function to be satisfied exactly at p chosen points of x.
- We therefore obtain a system of p equations and p unknowns.

Bueren (EUI, 2024) [Numerical Methods](#page-0-0) 27/53

#### Dirac Delta Projection / Collocation Example

- We may want that the residual function is equal to zero at a given set of points:
- Suppose we choose  $x_1 = 1$  and  $x_2 = 2$ .
- This gives the linear system:

$$
-1 = 2\gamma_1 + 3\gamma_2
$$

$$
-1 = 3\gamma_1 + 8\gamma_2
$$

### Galerkin Projection

• Projection direction is given by:

$$
p_i(x) = \psi_i(x)
$$

• There we solve for:

$$
\int_x \psi_i(x) R(\gamma, x) dx = 0
$$

• Which is also a system of  $p$  equations (one for each member of the basis) and p unkowns.

#### Galerkin Projection Example

• For our example we would have:

$$
\int_0^2 xR(\gamma, x)dx = 0
$$

$$
\int_0^2 x^2 R(\gamma, x)dx = 0
$$

Bueren (EUI, 2024) [Numerical Methods](#page-0-0) 30 / 53

## Orthogonal Bases

• This is how the first six elements of the basis of monomials look like.



• The elements of this basis share a lot of information. This makes the numerical solutions suffer in terms of precision.

Bueren (EUI, 2024) [Numerical Methods](#page-0-0) 31 / 53

#### Orthogonal Bases Definition

• Definition: Orthogonality

A family of elements  $\Psi = {\psi} \subset V$  is orthogonal with respect to the inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  if  $\forall i \neq j, \langle \psi_i, \psi_j \rangle = 0$ 

#### • **Definition:** Orthogonal basis

A subset  $\Psi$  of an inner product vector space V is an orthogonal basis of  $V$  if it is a basis and all its elements are orthogonal.

• The general idea is that elements of these bases share much less information making the numerical solutions much more precises.

# Orthogonal Bases

Chebyshev polynomials

• Define the element  $i$  as,

$$
\psi_i(x) = \cos(i \arccos(x))
$$

- They share much less information than the monomials.
- They can also be generated recursively,

$$
\begin{aligned}\ni &= 0, & \psi_i(x) &= 1 \\
i &= 1, & \psi_i(x) &= x \\
i &\ge 2, & \psi_i(x) &= 2x\psi_{i-1}(x) - \psi_{i-2}(x)\n\end{aligned}
$$

• Chebyshev polynomials are defined in the interval [−1; 1], but this is not a limitation as long as the domain of  $f(x)$  is bounded.

Bueren (EUI, 2024) [Numerical Methods](#page-0-0) 33/53

# Orthogonal Bases

Chebyshev polynomials

• This is how the first six elements of the basis of the Chebyshev polynomials look like.



## Neoclassical Growth Model

- Let's return to our economic model of reference: the Neoclassical Growth Model.
- And let's go to our preferred equation: the Euler Equation.

$$
u_c(c) = \beta f'(f(K) - c)u_c(c')
$$

• Assume we want to solve this model in terms of the policy function  $C(K)$ .

Bueren (EUI, 2024) [Numerical Methods](#page-0-0) 35 / 53

#### Neoclassical Growth Model

• Letting  $\hat{C}(\gamma, K)$  denote the approximate solution, the residual function may be computed from:

$$
R(\gamma, K) = \frac{u'(\hat{C}(\gamma, K))}{u'(\hat{C}(\gamma, f(K) - \hat{C}(\gamma, K)))} - \beta f'(f(K) - \hat{C}(\gamma, K))
$$

# Neoclassical Growth Model

Collocation

- Computing the solution using collocation is relatively straight forward.
- You need to choose p number of points for which to solve the Euler equation.
	- ▶ The Chebyshev interpolation theorem shows that Chebyshev zeros minimize the maximal interpolation error (Chebyshev collocation).
- Chebyshev zeros:

$$
\tilde{k}_i = \cos\left(\frac{2i-1}{2p}\pi\right), \ i = 1, \dots, p
$$

with, 
$$
\tilde{k}_i = \frac{2k}{b-a} - \frac{a+b}{b-a}
$$
,  $\tilde{k}_i \in [0,1], k_i \in [a, b]$ 

Bueren (EUI, 2024) [Numerical Methods](#page-0-0) 37 / 53

#### Neoclassical Growth Model Least Squares

• In case we choose the least squares projection, we need to compute the following integral:

$$
\min_{\gamma} \int_{a}^{b} R(\gamma, k)^2 dk
$$

• How to compute this integral?

Bueren (EUI, 2024) [Numerical Methods](#page-0-0) 38 / 53

### Numerical Integration

- There are two ways of computing an integral  $\int_a^b f(x)dx$ numerically:
	- 1. Newton-Cotes Formulas
	- 2. Gaussian Formulas

# Numerical Integration

Newton-Cotes Formulas

• The first idea is to approximate the function  $f(x)$  by piecewise polynomials and integrate the polynomials over subdomains of  $[a, b]$ .

$$
\int_{a}^{b} f(x)dx \simeq \frac{b-a}{2}[f(a) + f(b)]
$$

• If we use higher-order polynomials or a higher number of subdomains, more generally, we derive a Newton-Cotes formula for the approximation of the integral which evaluates the integral at a number of points:

$$
\int_a^b f(x)dx \simeq \sum_{i=1}^n a_i f(x_i)
$$

Bueren (EUI, 2024) [Numerical Methods](#page-0-0) 40 / 53

# Numerical Integration

Gaussian Formulas

- In Gaussian formulas, we choose weights and nodes optimally in order to provide a good approximation of  $\int_a^b f(x)dx$ .
- Choosing an orthogonal basis for approximating  $f(x)$  is important since it can be shown that we can compute the integral of a polynomial of degree  $2n - 1$  exactly.
- Gauss-Chebyshev quadrature formula:

$$
\int_{a}^{b} f(z)dz \simeq \frac{\pi(b-a)}{2n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(z_i) \sqrt{1 + \tilde{z}_i}
$$

where  $\tilde{z}_i$  are the Chebyshev zeros.

Bueren (EUI, 2024) [Numerical Methods](#page-0-0) 41/53

#### Neoclassical Growth Model Least Squares

• Then using the Gauss-Chebyshev quadrature formula we obtain:

$$
S(\gamma) = \int_a^b R(\gamma, K)^2 dk \simeq \frac{\pi(b-a)}{2n} \sum_{l=1}^n R(\gamma, k_l)^2 \sqrt{1 + \tilde{k}_l}
$$

In order to obtain the least squares projection of  $C(K)$ , we would need to minimize  $S(\gamma)$  using a minimization routine.

Bueren (EUI, 2024) [Numerical Methods](#page-0-0) 42/53

#### Neoclassical Growth Model Galerkin

- With the Galerkin projection method we use again Gauss-Chebyshev quadrature.
- With this, we must solve the system of  $p$  non-linear equations:

$$
0 = \frac{\pi(b-a)}{2n} \sum_{l=1}^{n} R(\gamma, k_l) T_i(\tilde{k}_l) \sqrt{1 + \tilde{k}_l}
$$

Bueren (EUI, 2024) [Numerical Methods](#page-0-0) 43/53

## Simple RBC

• Euler Equation:

$$
u_c(c_t) = \beta E[\exp(z_{t+1}) f_k(k_{t+1}) u_c(c_{t+1})]
$$
  
s.t.  $z_{t+1} = \rho z_t + \epsilon_{t+1}, \epsilon \sim N(0, \sigma_{\epsilon})$ 

• Letting  $\hat{C}(\gamma, K)$  denote the approximate solution, the residual function can be computed as:

$$
R(\gamma, K, z) = E\left[\frac{u_c(\hat{C}(\gamma, K, z))}{u_c(\hat{C}(\gamma, z f(K) + (1 - \delta)K - \hat{C}(\gamma, K, z), z'))} - \beta\left(\exp(z')f_k\left(z f(K) + (1 - \delta)K - \hat{C}(\gamma, K, z)\right) + 1 - \delta\right)\right]
$$

Bueren (EUI, 2024) [Numerical Methods](#page-0-0) 44 / 53

How to deal with the expectations operator?

$$
R(\gamma, K, z) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sigma_{\epsilon}\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{\epsilon'^2}{2\sigma_{\epsilon}^2}\right) \times
$$

$$
\left[\frac{u_c(\hat{C}(\gamma, K, z))}{u_c(\hat{C}(\gamma, zf(K) + (1 - \delta)K - \hat{C}(\gamma, K, z), \rho z + \epsilon')}\right) - \beta \left(\exp(\rho z + \epsilon') f_k\left(zf(K)\right) + (1 - \delta)K - \hat{C}(\gamma, K, z)\right) + 1 - \delta\right)\right] d\epsilon'
$$

Bueren (EUI, 2024) [Numerical Methods](#page-0-0) 45 / 53

#### How to deal with the expectations operator? Gauss–Hermite Quadrature

• Apply the following change of variable  $x = \frac{\epsilon'}{6}$ √  $2\sigma_{\epsilon}$ then,

$$
dx = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}\sigma_{\epsilon}}d\epsilon',
$$

$$
R(\gamma, K, z) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \exp(-x^2) \times
$$

$$
\left[ \frac{u_c(\hat{C}(\gamma, K, z))}{u_c(\hat{C}(\gamma, zf(K) + (1 - \delta)K - \hat{C}(\gamma, K, z), \rho z + \sqrt{2}\sigma_{\epsilon}x))} - \beta((\rho z + \sqrt{2}\sigma_{\epsilon}x)f_k(zf(K) + (1 - \delta)K - \hat{C}(\gamma, K, z)) + 1 - \delta) \right]
$$

 $dx$ 

Bueren (EUI, 2024) [Numerical Methods](#page-0-0) 46/53

#### How to deal with the expectations operator? Gauss-Hermite quadrature

• This integral can be approximated by the Gauss-Hermite quadrature formula:

$$
R(\gamma, K, z) \simeq \sum_{l=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} w_l
$$

$$
\left[ \frac{u_c(\hat{C}(\gamma, K, z))}{u_c(\hat{C}(\gamma, zf(K) + (1 - \delta)K - \hat{C}(\gamma, K, z), \rho z + \sqrt{2}\sigma_{\epsilon}x_l))} - \beta((\rho z + \sqrt{2}\sigma_{\epsilon}x_l)f_k(zf(K) + (1 - \delta)K - \hat{C}(\gamma, K, z)) + 1 - \delta) \right]
$$

• For different n's, the integration nodes  $x_l$  and weights  $w_l$  can be found in books.

Bueren (EUI, 2024) [Numerical Methods](#page-0-0) 47/53

Simple RBC Function approximation: Examples

• Monomials:

$$
\hat{C}(\gamma, K, z) = \gamma_0 + \gamma_1 k + \gamma_2 z + \gamma_3 k^2 + \gamma_4 k z + \gamma_5 z^2
$$

• Chebyshev:

$$
\hat{C}(\gamma, K, z) = \sum_{i=1}^{p_1} \sum_{j=1}^{p_2} \gamma_{ij} T_i(\tilde{K}) T_j(\tilde{z})
$$

Bueren (EUI, 2024) [Numerical Methods](#page-0-0) 48 / 53

Simple RBC Collocation

> • Given the residual function that we have computed, we could solve a on linear system for a number of points in the state space  $(z \times k)$ equal to the number of parameters that you need to estimate

# Simple RBC

- Least Squares
	- We need to compute a multidimensional integral:

$$
S(\gamma) = \int_{a_k}^{b_k} \int_{a_z}^{b_z} R(K, z; \gamma)^2 dk dz
$$

• We could again use Gauss-Chebyshev quadrature to approximate it with:

$$
S(\gamma) \simeq \frac{\pi^2 (b_k - a_k)(b_z - a_z)}{(2n)^2} \sum_{l=1}^n \sum_{m=1}^n R(\gamma, k_l, z_m)^2 \sqrt{1 + \tilde{k}_l} \sqrt{1 + \tilde{z}_m}
$$

• With Galerkin projection you will have one equation for each element of the family of polynomials.

Bueren (EUI, 2024) [Numerical Methods](#page-0-0) 50 / 53

#### [Accuracy](#page-50-0)

## <span id="page-50-0"></span>Accuracy

- A perfect solution will make zero the Euler equation in all the points of the domain.
- This will be typically impossible.
- We have considered different definitions for making the residual small.
- For instance, using state space methods, we chose to make the residual 0 at a certain points and we have paid no attention to the rest of the domain.
- So, how far are we from a zero of the equation in all the domain?

#### [Accuracy](#page-50-0)

### Accuracy

• We could define the residual function as:

$$
\mathcal{N}(k, z; \gamma) = \text{abs}\Bigg[\hat{C}(k, z; \gamma) - u_c^{-1} \Big( E\Big[\beta z' f_k(K') u_c(\hat{C}(k', z'; \gamma)) \Big] \Big) \Bigg]
$$

which measure the numerical error in consumption units.

• People like to report the relative consumption error or even its log.

$$
\mathcal{N}_R(k, z; \gamma) = \frac{\mathcal{N}(k, z; \gamma)}{\hat{C}(k, z; \gamma)}; \text{ or } \mathcal{N}_L(k, z; \gamma) = \log(\mathcal{N}_R(k, z; \gamma))
$$

Bueren (EUI, 2024) [Numerical Methods](#page-0-0) 52 / 53

#### [Accuracy](#page-50-0)

## <span id="page-52-0"></span>Accuracy

- Our accuracy measure is not a real number but a function in  $z \times k$ .
- There is a lot of information to convey.
	- We can plot the function
	- We can compute some summary statistics. A favorite one would be:

$$
\log \int_{\bm{z} \times \bm{k}} \mathcal{N}_{R}(k,z;\gamma) d\hat{\mu}
$$

This measure gives a sense of the average error, where the average is computed by giving more weight wherever we have more people and just forgetting about accuracy where there is no action.

- Another typical statistic reported,

$$
\max_{z,k}\log\mathcal{N}_{R}(k,z;\gamma)
$$

Bueren (EUI, 2024) [Numerical Methods](#page-0-0) 53 / 53